

### REMARKS


In the present application, claims 1-51 are pending. Claims 25-38 were withdrawn from consideration. Claims 2, 14, and 40 were rejected under 35 USC § 112. Other claims were rejected on other grounds. Claims 2, 14, and 40 have been amended. As a result of this response, claims 1-24 and 39-51 are believed to be in condition for allowance.

#### Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112

The Examiner rejected claims 2, 14, and 40 for reciting “said other IC”. The Examiner asserted that there is insufficient antecedent basis in the claims for the limitation. All of claims 2, 14, and 40 have been amended to provide proper antecedent basis. Claims 2, 14, and 40 are therefore in condition for allowance.

This amendment is submitted, in part, to remove grounds for rejection during appeal.

Respectfully submitted:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jeffrey R. Ambroziak

30 Mar 06  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Reg. No.: 47,387

Customer No.: 29683

HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP

4 Research Drive

Shelton, CT 06484-6212

Telephone: (203)925-9400

Facsimile: (203)944-0245

email: jambroziak@hspatent.com

S.N.: 10/005,766  
Art Unit: 2685

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. BOX 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

March 30, 2006 Debra Pongetti  
Date Name of Person Making Deposit